

METHOD FOR CHARACTERIZING AND SIMULATING A CHEMICAL
MECHANICAL POLISHING PROCESS

5

Cross-Reference to Related Application:

This application is a continuation of copending International Application No. PCT/DE01/04903, filed December 27, 2001, which designated the United States and was not published in English.

10

Background of the Invention:

Field of the Invention:

The present invention relates to a method for characterizing and simulating a chemical mechanical polishing process, in which a substrate that is to be polished, in particular a semiconductor wafer, is pressed onto a polishing cloth and is rotated relative to the latter for a defined polishing time.

Chemical mechanical polishing (CMP) is a method for planarizing or polishing substrates that is in widespread use in particular in semiconductor manufacturing. By way of example, planarized surfaces have the advantage that a subsequent exposure step can be carried out with a higher resolution, since the required depth of focus can be lower on account of the reduced surface topography.

In this context, the problem arises that different structure densities and spacings in the layout of a semiconductor chip influence the planarizing properties of the CMP process.

Inappropriately selected process parameters then lead to a

5 considerable fluctuation in the layer thickness (global topography) over the chip surface after the CMP process. On the other hand, an unfavorably selected circuit layout also leads to insufficient planarization. In this context, the inadequate planarization, on account of the associated layer
10 thickness variations over the chip surface or the image field surface of a subsequent exposure step, has an adverse affect on the subsequent processes and therefore also on the product properties. In particular the process window of a subsequent lithography step is reduced in size on account of the reduced
15 depth of focus.

Hitherto, the process parameters to be set for the CMP process have generally been adapted specifically for each new layer to be polished on the semiconductor wafer and for almost every

20 new product. For each CMP process there are numerous process parameters, such as the rotational speeds of polishing plate and substrate holder, the compressive force, the polishing time, the condition of the polishing cloth or the choice of polishing abrasive. Furthermore, the deposition thickness of
25 the layer which is to be planarized has to be matched to the

planarization properties of the CMP process used and the structure densities and sizes of the chip layout.

The optimum parameters are typically determined in a series of test gradings by trial and error. These tests entail a not inconsiderable time and cost outlay and also require a sufficient number of wafers of a new product layout to be available.

Furthermore, it is difficult to measure the resultant global topography on the test wafers, and consequently in practice it is often only the less relevant local planarization properties that are analyzed.

Summary of the Invention:

It is accordingly an object of the invention to provide a method for characterizing and simulating a chemical mechanical polishing process that overcomes the above-mentioned disadvantages of the prior art methods of this general type, in which the CMP process can be characterized in such a manner that for a given product layout the process result can be predicted without carrying out tests on real layout substrates.

The method according to the invention for characterizing and simulating a CMP process, in which a substrate which is to be

polished, in particular a semiconductor wafer, is pressed onto a polishing cloth and is rotated relative to the latter for a defined polishing time, includes the steps of: defining a set of process parameters, in particular compressive force and
5 relative rotational speed between the substrate and the polishing cloth; preparing and characterizing a test substrate having test patterns with different structure densities at the defined process parameters; determining a set of model parameters for simulating the CMP process from the results of
10 the characterization of the test substrate; determining layout parameters of the substrate which is to be polished; defining a profile of demands on the CMP process result for the substrate which is to be polished; and simulating the CMP process in order to determine the polishing time required to
15 satisfy the profile of demands.

The method according to the invention has the advantage that an experimental characterization only has to take place once for a specific set of process parameters, specifically on a
20 test substrate that has test patterns with different structure densities. The results of the characterization of the test substrate are used to determine a set of model parameters with which the CMP process can then be simulated for any desired layout.

25

Then, for a given layout layout, parameters which form input variables for the simulation are determined. The demands imposed on the process result, for example a certain approximation to the optimum achievable global step height, are also defined. By simulating the CMP process, it is then possible to determine the polishing time required for this layout from the generally applicable model parameters and the specific layout parameters without experimental test grading using the layout itself being required.

10

Therefore, it is possible to determine on a theoretical basis, without using product wafers, whether a selected layout can be polished in the desired way using a specific process. It is also possible to reach conclusions as to the CMP process window. Therefore, the result is a considerable saving on time and costs in the technological development of new products.

15

The test patterns of the test substrate contain regions with high (up) areas and low (down) areas of a defined step height, for example isolated blocks or line patterns. The ratio of up areas to down areas determines the structure density, the limits of which are formed by a density of 0% (only down areas) and 100% (only up areas). A preferred test substrate includes line patterns with a period (the width of the up and

25

down areas together) of 250 μm for structure densities of 4% to 72%.

In one configuration of the method, the test substrate is
5 characterized in an experimental polishing time grading in which the layer thickness development of the test patterns is measured in dependence on the polishing time.

Preferably, the set of model parameters determined contains
10 the abrasion rate, the hardness of the polishing cloth, and a characteristic filter length for determining effective structure densities. In this case, an effective structure density is obtained from the specific structure density of a layout by determining or forming a suitable mean over an area
15 of a certain size.

It is preferable for the mean to be formed by convolution of the specific structure density with a weighting function. The weighting function selected is expediently a two-dimensional
20 Gaussian distribution, and the characteristic filter length is in this case the half-width value of the Gaussian curve. However, there are also other suitable weighting functions, for example square, cylindrical and elliptical weighting functions. According to current knowledge, the elliptical and
25 Gaussian weighting functions have the minimum errors and are therefore preferably used.

The abrasion rate and the hardness are advantageously determined from the layer thickness development of a test pattern with a mean structure density. In this context, it is expedient for the abrasion rate to be determined from the pitch of the layer thickness development for long polishing times, and for the hardness of the polishing cloth to be determined from the speed at which the up and down areas of the test patterns reach the abrasion rate. The values for the abrasion rate and the hardness can, for example, be obtained by matching a local polishing model to the experimental results of a polishing time grading.

The filter length is advantageously determined from the global step height of all the test patterns on the test substrate.

In this case, the global step height is the difference in layer thickness between the maximum layer thickness measured value for all the up areas and the minimum layer thickness measured value for all the down areas. Since the global step height therefore represents a correlation over the surface of the entire layout, it is quite plausible that a significant global step height may remain even though the local steps have already been leveled by the polishing operation. However, it is the global step height over the image field area of a subsequent exposure step (for example $21 \times 21 \text{ mm}^2$) that is crucial to the depth of focus of the exposure step.

In one configuration of the method, the layout parameters of the substrate used are the minimum and maximum effective structure density, ρ_{\min} and ρ_{\max} , and the starting step height.

5 The effective structure densities in turn result from the specific structure density of the layout by forming a suitable mean over an area of a certain size, characterized by the filter length.

10 In a further configuration, a surface coverage with structures is determined for at least one region on the substrate, in order subsequently to use a cross-sectional profile of the corresponding structures to calculate a local structure density from the surface coverage and the cross-sectional
15 profile of the structures. This is because the starting topography that is to be planarized by a CMP process is not determined by the layout directly, but rather is also determined by the preceding processes, such as for example an etching or deposition process.

20

In this context, account is taken of the fact that, by way of example, structures which have been etched in a preceding process or covered with a layer no longer have a box-shaped or rectangular profile, but rather on the one hand have an edge
25 which is set back or projects with respect to its base and on the other hand also have angled or curved edges. Recesses or

angled edges for a given surface coverage lead to a reduction in the structure density compared to box-shaped structures of precise surface area and therefore also to a reduction in the amount of material to be removed, while projecting edges lead to an increase. The effective structure density is then calculated by forming the mean over the filter length.

The simulation method therefore also takes account of the preceding process. For a given structure having a width and a height, it is possible to cite a cross-sectional profile for a specific known preceding process. To do this, it is possible to store corresponding measured data in tables in order for them then to be assigned to the structures of the existing surface coverage during the simulation, or alternatively it is possible to cite simplified geometric formulae which are applied to the corresponding profile of the structure below.

To calculate the local structure density, a first volume is calculated by integration of the cross-sectional profile over the basic area of a structure and then the first volume is divided by a second volume, which is calculated from the product of the basic area of the structure and the starting height. Given a mathematically predetermined function of the cross-sectional profile, the integration can be carried out directly, or alternatively numerical integration is carried

out by use of nested intervals. The two integrals converge as the number of interval steps moves towards infinity.

The profile of demands that has been defined is preferably
5 given by a global step height to be achieved on the substrate after the CMP process has been carried out, since the global step height has a crucial influence on the depth of focus of a subsequent exposure step.

10 In one configuration of the simulation method, the deposition thickness required to carry out the CMP process is determined in addition to the required polishing time in the simulation.

The simulation preferably also determines the minimum global
15 step height that can be achieved. This determination is based on the discovery that for sufficiently long polishing times the local steps have disappeared and the global step height only changes to a negligible extent. For the limit scenario of an infinitely long polishing time, the result is a residual
20 global step height which is dependent only on the starting step height and on the minimum and maximum effective structure density which can be achieved in the layout which is to be polished.

25 If the minimum achievable step height is determined, it is recommended for the global step height that is to be achieved

to be selected as a function of the minimum achievable global step height. By way of example, working on the basis of the starting step height, it is required to achieve 80%, 90% or 95% of the difference between the starting step height and the minimum achievable global step height. A procedure of this type represents a compromise between being sufficiently close to optimum planarization and the demand for short polishing times.

The invention also includes a method for the chemical mechanical polishing of a substrate, in particular of a semiconductor wafer, in which a CMP process is simulated as described, a layer which is to be planarized is deposited on a substrate and the substrate is polished for a polishing time determined from the simulation. As has been stated, it is not necessary to carry out a new experimental test grading for each new substrate layout. Rather, the results of an experimental characterization of a test substrate can be used for a wide range of product layouts.

In the polishing method, the CMP process is preferably simulated using a method that also provides the required deposition thickness as a simulation result. The layer that is to be planarized is then deposited in the required thickness before the polishing step.

Other features which are considered as characteristic for the invention are set forth in the appended claims.

Although the invention is illustrated and described herein as embodied in a method for characterizing and simulating a chemical mechanical polishing process, it is nevertheless not intended to be limited to the details shown, since various modifications and structural changes may be made therein without departing from the spirit of the invention and within the scope and range of equivalents of the claims.

The construction and method of operation of the invention, however, together with additional objects and advantages thereof will be best understood from the following description of specific embodiments when read in connection with the accompanying drawings.

Brief Description of the Drawings:

Fig. 1 is a flow diagram of a CMP simulation method according to the invention;

Fig. 2 is a flow diagram illustrating a subroutine of the flow diagram shown in Fig. 1 in more detail;

Fig. 3 is a graph plotting a measured layer thickness in the up area and down area of a structure of average density and also a global step height as a function of polishing time;

- 5 Fig. 4 is a graph plotting the measured global step height and the global step height obtained from the CMP simulation model as a function of the polishing time;

Fig. 5 is a diagrammatic illustration relating to the
10 definition of sizes used in a CMP polishing process;

Fig. 6 is a cross-sectional profile of a substrate with structures on which an HDP deposition process has been carried out;

15

Fig. 7A is a graph illustrating the layer thickness applied in an HDP process as a function of the lateral extent of a structure;

- 20 Fig. 7B is a graph illustrating the layer thickness applied in an HDP process as an integration of the profile by nested intervals;

Fig. 8A is a plan view of the surface coverage of two windows
25 with structures before an HDP process;

Fig. 8B is a plan view of the surface coverage of two windows with structures after the HDP process;

Fig. 9A is a graph showing a diagram as in Fig. 7 but for a
5 conformal deposition process; and

Fig. 9B is a graph showing a diagram as in Fig. 7 but for an etching process.

10 Description of the Preferred Embodiments:

Referring now to the figures of the drawing in detail and first, particularly, to Fig. 5 thereof, there is shown diagrammatically, to define the sizes used, a wafer 12 which is to be polished and a polishing cloth 18. The wafer 12 has
15 a structure containing high up areas 14 and low down areas 16 with a step height h_0 . On account of the rotational movements, a local relative speed v results between the wafer 12 and the polishing cloth 18 at any location. A compressive force F and a surface area of the wafer 12 can be used to determine a
20 local abrasion rate in a known way using the Preston's equation.

Fig. 1 shows a flow diagram of an exemplary embodiment of a chemical mechanical polishing (CMP) simulation method 100. In
25 a first step 102, a relative speed of the wafer 12 and the polishing cloth 18 and the compressive force, for example a

relative rotational speed or table speed (TS) = 35 rpm
(revolutions per minute) and a compressive force of 6 psi, are
defined as process parameters of the process which is to be
characterized.

5

In step 104, the selected process is completely characterized
as a one-off. To do this, as illustrated in the flow diagram
presented in Fig. 2, first a suitable test substrate is
selected (reference numeral 202). In the exemplary

10 embodiment, the test substrate has test patterns containing
isolated blocks and line patterns with different structure
densities of 4% to 72%. All the structures of the test
patterns have relatively large dimensions ($\geq 10 \mu\text{m}$) in order
to allow simple optical examination of the structures and to
15 enable their development to be evaluated as a function of the
polishing time.

The test substrate is characterized in step 204, the result
obtained being the layer thickness development for various
20 structure densities as well as the global step height as a
function of the polishing time (reference numeral 206).

Then, in steps 206 to 214, the experimental values are
reproduced by use of a local CMP model with a global density
25 by matching model parameters abrasion rate K, polishing-cloth
hardness E and filter length c0.

The abrasion rate K and the hardness of the polishing cloth E are determined from the layer thickness development of a test pattern of average structure density, as illustrated in Fig.

5 3.

Fig. 3 plots the measured layer thickness in the up area (reference numeral 302) and down area (reference numeral 304) of a structure of average density. It can be seen that
10 substantially only the high, up area is abraded, while the abrasion rate in the down area is low.

At slightly longer times, the down area is also abraded, and for relatively long polishing times the abrasion rates for the
15 up and down areas converge (reference numeral 310). The pitch of the layer thickness curves in the area 310 is then a measure of the abrasion rate K .

The hardness E of the polishing cloth determines how quickly
20 the up and down areas reach the abrasion rate. The precise values for K and E are determined by matching a local model to the results of the polishing time grading. The details of a local model of this type are described, for example, in the article titled "A CMP Model Combining Density And Time
25 Dependencies" by Taber H. Smith et al., Proc. CMP-MIC, Santa-Clara, CA, Feb. 1999.

The filter length c_0 is obtained from the development of the global step height over the course of time. The global step height is in this case the layer thickness difference between the maximum layer thickness measured value of all the up areas and the minimum layer thickness measured value of all the down areas at each time,

$$St_{\text{global}}(t) = \text{Max}_{\text{Up}} - \text{Min}_{\text{Down}}. \quad (1)$$

As can be seen from the plot of the measured global step height 306 illustrated in Fig. 3, the global step height is still significant when the local step height, i.e. the difference between the layer thickness in the up area (reference numeral 302) and the layer thickness in the down area (reference numeral 304) has already virtually disappeared for a test structure of defined structure density.

The CMP model is now matched to the profile of the global step height by obtaining an effective structure density $\rho(x,y)$, which is likewise included in the model calculation, from the specific structure density $\rho_0(x,y)$ of the test substrate by convolution with a weighting function.

Each weighting function in this case has a characteristic filter length c_0 , which indicates the size of the area used to form the mean. In the exemplary embodiment, the weighting function selected is a two-dimensional Gaussian distribution
 5 with a half-width value c_0 .

It has now been found that for given process parameters the global step height $St_{\text{global}}(t)$ which remains, given sufficiently long polishing times, is dependent only on the starting step
 10 height h_0 and on the minimum and maximum effective densities of the layout, in this case of the test substrate:

$$St_{\text{global}}(t \rightarrow \infty) = h_0(\rho_{\text{max}} - \rho_{\text{min}}) \quad (2)$$

15 Since ρ_{max} and ρ_{min} are dependent on c_0 , the filter length can be determined by comparing equation (2) with equation (1) for sufficiently long times.

In the model calculation, therefore, the value of the filter
 20 length c_0 is a fit parameter which is iteratively adapted until the simulated data sufficiently match the data determined experimentally in the polishing time grading (steps 208, 210, 212, 214).

Fig. 4 shows the result of a CMP simulation after adjustment of the filter length c_0 . Fig. 4 illustrates the measured global step height 402 and the global step height 404 obtained from the model as a function of the polishing time.

5

At the end of the process characterization 104, the model parameters K , E and c_0 have been matched to the selected process conditions. The result is then a simulation model that can be applied to any desired product layout without
10 further free parameters.

Returning now to Fig. 1, in step 106 layout parameters are determined for specific application to a product layout. For this purpose, the minimum and maximum effective densities of
15 the product layout and the starting step height are determined from the specific structure density of the product layout, which is known from measurements or from the design data, by use of the weighting function with the filter length c_0 .

20 A simulation of the CMP process for the product layout using the previously determined values for K , E and c_0 then directly results in the local and global step heights as a function of the polishing time.

25 As can be seen from the global step height plotted in Fig. 4, the global step height does not drop to zero over the course

of time, but rather, after a sufficiently long polishing time, tends toward its limit value given by equation (2). There is therefore no point in continuing polishing for a very long time, since this lengthens the process time without

5 significantly improving the process result.

Therefore, in step 106 of the simulation method, a profile of demands imposed on the CMP process result is defined; satisfying the profile of demands results in that the

10 polishing process can be ended. For this purpose, in the exemplary embodiment a variable σ is determined, for example at a value of 0.95, indicating what proportion of the maximum achievable polishing result is sufficient for the specific polishing process.

15

This cessation condition then enables the CMP simulation to determine the polishing time t_{plan} required. This results from the equation

20
$$St_{global}(t_{plan}) - St_{global}(t \rightarrow \infty) = (1 - \sigma)(h_0 - St_{global}(t \rightarrow \infty)),$$

i.e. for $\sigma = 0.95$, the global step height is reduced by 95% of the maximum possible reduction from h_0 within the polishing time t_{plan} .

25

Furthermore, a layer thickness S_{down} which has been abraded in the down area with the lowest effective structure density at the time t_{plan} can be used to determine the deposition thickness A required to achieve this degree of planarization:

5

$$A = S_{\text{down}}(t_{\text{plan}}, \rho_{\text{min}}) + h_0$$

Therefore, the material thickness which is to be applied, the required planarization time and the resulting global step
10 height can be determined by the simulation without it being necessary to use real product wafers.

In an alternative exemplary embodiment, to determine the effective structure density by subtraction or addition of
15 critical structure sizes from the surface coverage $\rho'(x,y)$ according to the chip layout which are characteristic of the preceding processes and for subsequent surface coverage determination, the density of the surface topography of the structures following the preceding process is determined.

20

In this case, the specific structure density during the deposition is defined as the ratio of volume to the product of a window area 400 of individual structures or of a field of structures under consideration and the maximum step height h_0 .

25 In the case of precisely one structure, this corresponds to

the basic area of the structure. Since the filter length c_0 of a CMP process is approximately 1 mm, it is possible for the window areas 400 within which this surface coverage is determined to be selected to be small compared to the filter
5 length c_0 but large compared to an individual structure.

An exemplary embodiment considered here is an algorithm for determining the HDP deposition topography on a metal level. Fig. 6 shows a typical determined cross-sectional profile of a
10 layer 302 deposited in this manner. The HDP deposition is used to fill trenches with a high aspect ratio. Structures with a lateral size below a defined dimension (on the left-hand size in Fig. 6) are grown over completely, with the result that flattened down areas 14' of a new surface
15 topography are formed. More oxide is deposited on structures that are larger (on the right-hand side in Fig. 6), so that up areas 14' that have been changed from the structure layout are formed, and a flank 15' is formed at their edges. The flank 15' is characteristic of the HDP process. It changes with the
20 process parameters of the HDP process.

If the deposition height is plotted against the lateral structure size (Fig. 7A), the result, in addition to the angle 301 of the flank 15', is two further characteristic lateral
25 variables L_{\min} and L_{\max} . L_{\min} is half the lateral dimension below that a uniform deposition thickness grows over all the

structures of the structured metal layer. The thickness is the deposition height on an unstructured surface, reduced by the trench depth. Structures with a lateral extent of twice L_{\max} in turn have a constant deposition thickness grown over
 5 them and form a trapezoid (on the right-hand side of Fig. 6). In this case, the height of the trapezoid is the deposition thickness on an unstructured surface. The structures between twice L_{\min} and L_{\max} are characterized in the profile by their pointed triangular shape (middle of Fig. 6). The relationship
 10 between structure size and deposition thickness can in turn be defined by simulation or by SEN images and can be stored.

When using numerical methods, the window area 400 is shifted over the layout and the surface coverage $\rho'(x,y)$ therein is
 15 determined. As down areas 16', the surfaces associated with the regions L_{\min} do not make any contributions to the effective structure density, even though they contribute to the surface coverage. The areas of the edges 15' which are assigned to the regions L_{\max} are divided, by nested intervals, into a
 20 number n of intervals 305 each of known basic areas and are each provided with a mean value for the local structure height (Fig. 7B). An inner region once again has a plateau, i.e. the up area 14' of height h_0 with respect to the down area. The product of the individual partial areas and the associated
 25 local structure heights results in the volume taken up by the material of the layer 302. This is set in a relationship with

respect to a volume that results from the product of the height h_0 times the window area 400.

The result of the example HDP process is illustrated in Figs. 8A and 8B. Fig. 8A shows two different surface coverages with the same structure densities in each case one window area. The structures of the layout, i.e. the up areas 14, are illustrated in solid black. Fig. 8B accordingly in each case shows the remaining structure contributions after the topography of the HDP deposition process has been taken into account. The edges provided with an angle of inclination 301 are placed beneath in graded grey shades in Fig. 8B in order to provide a plan view of the nested intervals. The result for the HDP process is not only a reduction in the structure densities compared to the layout, but also that this reduction is determined as a function of the structure extent or size, as can be seen from a comparison between the two windows 400 in Fig. 8B. The finer structures in the layout (smaller up areas 14 and down areas 16) even provide exclusively down areas 16' following the HDP process.

Figs. 9A and 9B show further examples of processes with a deposition height or the structure height plotted against the lateral structure extent, specifically for a conformal deposition process on structures with a low aspect ratio (Fig. 9A) and an etching process (Fig. 9B). The, for example,

experimentally determined variables L_{\min} and L_{\max} and also H_0 may in this case also adopt negative values i.e. by way of example may have the effect of increasing the topography compared to the structure from the layout.

5

The determination of the layout parameters ρ_{\min} and ρ_{\max} as the minimum and maximum values for the effective structure density is carried out after the mean has been formed for the specific structure density having the filter length c_0 as calculated
10 from the cross-sectional profile and the surface coverage.

Of course, it is also within the scope of the invention to select a different set of process parameters, to carry out the CMP simulation using this set of parameters and to compare the
15 results with those obtained above in order to optimally adapt the process parameters to a given product layout.